

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH

THURSDAY, THE 21ST JUNE 2007 / 31ST JYAISHTA 1929

WP(C).No. 12836 of 2004(C)

PETITIONER:

SMT.SREEKALA.B., JUNIOR LECTURER IN
ENGLISH, M.A.COLLEGE, KOTHAMANGALAM (NOW
WORKING ON DEPLOYMENT IN GOVERNMENT HIGHER
SECONDARY SCHOOL, PERUMBAVOOR)

BY ADV. SRI.M.K.CHANDRA MOHANDAS

RESPONDENTS:

1. THE STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF KERALA,
SECRETARIAT, TRIVANDRUM.
2. THE SECRETARY, HIGHER EDUCATION
DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
3. THE REGISTRAR, MAHATMA GANDHI
UNIVERSITY, KOTTAYAM.
4. THE DIRECTOR OF COLLEGIATE EDUCATION,
VIKAS BHAVAN, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
5. THE DIRECTOR, HIGHER SECONDARY
EDUCATION, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
6. THE MANAGER, M.A.COLLEGE, KOTHAMANGALAM
7. SHIMA MATHEW, NOW WORKING AS LECTURER
IN ENGLISH, M.A.COLLEGE, KOTHAMANGALAM.

BY ADV. SRI.V.K.MUHAMMAD YOUSUF, SC, M.G.UTY.
SRI.K.JAJU BABU
SMT.M.U.VIJAYALAKSHMI
SRI.GEORGE JACOB (JOSE)
SRI. T.A. SHAJI, SC, M.G.UNIVERSITY

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 21/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT.P1: PHOTO COPY OF APPOINTMENT ORDER DATED 16.09.1996 ISSUED BY THE M.A COLLEGE ASSOCIATION TO THE PETITIONER.

EXT.P2: PHOTO COPY OF APPOINTMENT ORDER DATED 02.06.1997 ISSUED BY THE M.A. COLLEGE ASSOCIATION TO THE PETITIONER.

EXT.P3: PHOTOCOPY OF THE ORDER NO. CA-201/98 DATED 08.10.1998 ISSUED BY THE SECRETARY, M.A. COLLEGE TO THE PETITIONER.

EXT.P4 SERIES: PHOTO COPIES OF THE DEPARTMENTAL AND INDIVIDUAL TIME TABLES OF DEPARTMENT OF ENGLISH OF M.A. COLLEGE.

EXT.P5: PHOTO COPY OF THE STATEMENT OF WORKLOAD ON THE BASIS OF THE STRENGTH OF STUDENTS AS ON 01.11.2002 IN THE M.A COLLEGE.

EXT.P6: PHOTOCOPY OF THE ORDER DATED 09.01.2004 PASSED BY THE VICE CHANCELLOR OF M.G UNIVERSITY.

/True Copy/

P.A to Judge.

KURIAN JOSEPH , J

=====

W.P.(C) NO. 12836 OF 2004

=====

Dated this the 21st day of June, 2007.

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is aggrieved by the promotion given to the 7th respondent. The learned counsel appearing for the 7th respondent submits that her promotion had already been approved by the University and she has left the service under the 6th respondent in March, 2005. In view of the interim developments as above, it is for the petitioner either to approach the 6th respondent or pursue the grievance against the order of approval of the 7th respondent in appropriate proceedings.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

KURIAN JOSEPH, JUDGE.

